Introduction

Orbital cellulitis develops in 70–80% of cases from infection of paranasal sinuses, mainly from the maxillary sinus and ethmoidal sinuses [1,2]. Remaining etiologies include periorbital trauma, history of surgery, skin infections, dacryocystitis, oral infections and upper respiratory infections [1–4]. Odontogenic origin thus represents only 1.3–5% of cases of orbital cellulitis [2,5–8]. There are three main routes of spread of oral infection to the orbit (Fig. 1). The first route is the most common and corresponds to the spread of oral infections to the maxillary sinus and then to the orbit either by bony erosion of the orbital floor, or via the ethmoidal sinus, or via the infra-orbital canal. In the second route, the infection spreads to the infra-temporal fossa and then reaches the orbital cavity via the inferior orbital fissure. The third route is hematogenous and mainly involves the facial vein and the superior and inferior ophthalmic veins. Indeed, the venous system of the face includes a large number of anastomoses, and the veins lack valves. These two factors together favor the propagation of infections in the form of septic emboli, which can lead to secondary thromboembolitis, such as cavernous sinus thrombosis [1,9–12].

Orbital cellulitis can cause significant morbidity such as blindness or cavernous sinus thrombosis; therefore, it is essential to know how to recognize it in time in order to be able to perform the appropriate treatment. The purpose of this article was to review the literature on cases of odontogenic orbital cellulitis and to suggest a standardized
management of orbital cellulitis of oral origin, based on main medical and surgical approaches reported in the literature to date.

Methods

A literature review was conducted, using the following search method:
– Type of publications selected: case reports.

Used keywords were: “odontogenic orbital abscess”, “odontogenic orbital cellulitis”.
On PubMed with keywords “odontogenic orbital abscess”: 77 results.

The titles and abstracts of the studies selected in this preliminary analysis were then listed, and the relevance of each study was determined. Duplicate studies were identified and rejected. The remaining articles were subjected to stricter inclusion and exclusion criteria.

The full texts of the remaining articles were obtained and reviewed on the basis of the following inclusion criteria:
– Publications in French and English.
– Publications corresponding to case reports of odontogenic orbital cellulitis.

Exclusion criteria included the following:
– Case reports dealing with non-odontogenic orbital cellulitis.
– Case reports dealing with pathologies other than orbital cellulitis (non-orbital cellulitis of the face, optic neuritis, etc.).
– Literature reviews and meta-analyses not including case reports.
– Animal studies.

The flow chart shown in Figure 2 represents the systematic review process according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. The different types of cellulitis were classified using the Chandler’s classification (Fig. 3), which is based on the extension of the inflammation in relation to the anatomical barriers of the orbit, which are the orbital septum and the periosteum [13].

There are five stages: stage I corresponds to a pre-septal cellulitis, located in front of the orbital septum. Stage II corresponds to a retro-septal cellulitis, also called diffuse orbital cellulitis, which will reach the orbital area behind the septum. Stage III corresponds to a subperiosteal abscess, located between the bony orbital wall and the septum. Stage IV corresponds to an intra-orbital abscess. Stage V corresponds to a thrombosis of the cavernous sinus [13].

Parameters analyzed in the reviewed literature included age, sex, clinical presentation, the realization of an imaging to determine orbital involvement according to the Chandler’s classification, etiology, microbiology, treatment, and outcome of each case.

Results

Thirty-five cases of odontogenic orbital cellulitis have been described in the literature from 1980 to 2022 [1,3–12,14–37]. Among them, the majority were men (65.7%). The mean age at diagnosis was 32.9 (±19.2) years.

Clinical presentation

Concerning the clinical presentation, and more specifically ophthalmological symptoms, the three most frequently symptoms found in the literature were peri-orbital edema (100%), ocular or facial pain (82.9%) and limitation of ocular movements (82.9%). The next most frequent findings were peri-ocular erythema (80%), exophtalmos (74.3%), chemosis (65.7%), decreased visual acuity (65.7%), ophthalmoplegia (34.3%), diplopia (31.4%) and relative afferent pupillary deficit (14.3%).

It can also be noted that in two cases (5.7%), a syndrome of the orbital compartment was found, corresponding to a major intraocular hypertension. In these two cases, the intraocular pressure was higher than 40 mmHg (for a norm of 9–21 mmHg). These cases corresponded both to retro-orbital cellulitis (Chandler type II) [14,24].

Regarding general symptoms, fever was present in a little more than half of the cases (51.4% of cases) and a biological inflammatory syndrome was noted in 45.7% of the cases.
Etiology

In the anamnesis, 97.1% of patients presented a recent oral history or symptoms compatible with an oral inflammatory process (Fig. 4). The two most frequently found were dental avulsion (20% of cases) or endodontic treatment (14.3% of cases), in the days or weeks preceding the onset of symptoms.

Other found elements corresponded to a recent carious removal (5.7%), the recent loss of a dental restoration (2.9%), and a recent root fracture (2.9%). In one case (2.9%), the orbital cellulitis was caused by two associated factors: a fracture of the left zygomatic bone which, after 24 h, led to the decompensation of a chronic endo-periodontal lesion present on the left maxillary first molar, into a retroorbital cellulitis [36]. In another case (2.9%), orbital cellulitis resulted from the infection of a neonatal tooth (a rare phenomenon, defined as a tooth erupting within 30 days of birth) in a neonate [5].

Imaging

Imaging was performed in 91.4% of cases on admission. In the majority of cases, it was a CT scan of the facial mass (88.6%). A cervico-facial MRI was performed in two cases (5.7%). In two other cases (5.7%), a CT scan of the facial mass was performed after several days, because of an unfavorable evolution under probabilistic antibiotic therapy. Finally, no imaging was performed in one case (2.8%).

Imaging allows the exact type of cellulitis to be defined according to Chandler’s classification. The two most frequent types found in the literature corresponded to an intra-orbital abscess (Chandler stage IV) in 42.9% of cases and a subperiosteal abscess (Chandler stage III) in 25.7% of cases. Retroseptal and pre-septal cellulitis were reported in 20% and 5.7% of cases respectively. Finally, a thrombosis of the cavernous sinus has been demonstrated in two cases (5.7%) [23,31].
Imaging revealed opacification of the maxillary sinus in 20 cases (57.1%), ethmoidal opacification in 12 cases (34.4%) and frontal sinus opacification in 4 cases (11.4%). This sinus opacification is a common CT sign in odontogenic etiology. Periapical lesion is also a common sign, which was found on imaging in 19 cases (54.3%).

**Microbiology**

The literature reports information on the microbiological results of orbital or sinus pus samples in 28 cases (80%). The sample was positive in 65.7% of cases: a single germ was detected in 42.9% of cases, whereas several germs were found in 22.8% of cases (Fig. 5).

Regarding the most frequently found germs, commensal streptococcus of the oral cavity or anaerobic bacteria were found in 25.7% of cases, and coagulase-negative staphylococcus in 22.9% of cases. In 14.3% of cases, the sample remained negative. This may be related to the use of broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy at diagnosis and prior to sampling.

**Medical and/or surgical management**

Broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotic therapy was initiated at diagnosis in 94.3% of cases. Many different combinations have been reported in the literature. The common feature was the use of metronidazole in 51.4% of cases, combined with a third-generation cephalosporin (11.4%) or amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (8.6%). Vancomycin was also used in combination in 17.1% of cases.

Systemic corticosteroid therapy was used in 22.3% of cases. The use of a hypotensive eye-drop was also necessary in 2.9% of cases. Nasal decongestant was used in 8.6% of cases.

Regarding surgical management, orbital drainage was necessary in 71.4% of cases to achieve clinical improvement in combination with antibiotic therapy. Three out of 9 patients (33.3%) required drainage in stages I and II, while this concerned 22 out of 24 patients (91.6%) in stages III and IV, corresponding to the collected stages. The surgical approach was determined by the location of the abscess on imaging. Drainage of the maxillary sinus was associated in 45.7% of cases, by Caldwell Luc approach in half of cases. Then, dental avulsion of the causal tooth was performed in 65.7% of cases. Finally, lateral canthotomy and cantholysis for decompressive purpose were needed in the two cases of cellulitis complicated by an orbital compartment syndrome [14,24]. A transconjunctival retrocaruncular anterior orbitotomy and an endoscopic endonasal decompression of the orbit (by the medial and inferior walls of the orbit) was even necessary in one case, in front of a refractory major intraocular hypertonia [14].

**Evolution**

The literature reports a complete resolution of symptoms in 80% of cases. On the other hand, in 20% of cases, persistent after-effects are reported: a decrease in residual visual acuity in 14.2% of the cases, and the persistence of diplopia, or headaches and photophobia in 2.9% of the cases.

**Discussion**

According to literature, the three most frequent signs of odontogenic orbital cellulitis are periorbital edema, ocular pain, and limitation of ocular movements. Visual acuity is normal in 34.3% of cases. These signs are not specific to oral etiology, which is why it is important to look for a dental procedure (avulsion or endodontic treatment) in the days or weeks preceding the onset of symptoms, or for oral symptoms that have recently occurred. It is then essential to perform an imaging of the facial mass when orbital cellulitis is suspected, in order to confirm the diagnosis and the etiology, and to establish the type of cellulitis according to the Chandler’s classification. In the majority of reported cases, a CT scan of the
facial mass was performed, an examination with good sensitivity that is more accessible than MRI in emergency practice.

Then, the treatment is mainly elaborated in two parts. First, medical treatment, with the quick initiation of broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotic therapy. The use of metronidazole associated with a third-generation cephalosporin has been the most frequently reported combination in the literature, although many other combinations have also been found. It targets both aerobic and anaerobic organisms, the latter being frequently involved in odontogenic orbital cellulitis.

The use of systematic corticosteroid therapy has been reported in 22.3% of cases. The role of this therapy in acute sinusitis and orbital cellulitis was evaluated by Neelam Fig. 4. Elements found at the time of anamnesis leading to an oral etiology.

Fig. 5. Microbiology.
Pushker [38], who compared the use of intravenous antibiotic therapy alone with intravenous antibiotic therapy combined with systemic corticosteroids in patients with orbital cellulitis. The use of high-dose intravenous corticosteroids resulted in faster resolution of symptoms such as fever, pain, periorbital edema, exophthalmos, and limitation of eye movement. In addition, they allowed a faster recovery of visual acuity, but there was no difference in the recovery of long-term visual acuity. Finally, the duration of hospitalization was significantly shorter in the group that received corticosteroid therapy.

According to the literature, surgical treatment associated with this medical treatment is most of the time indispensable. It consists of orbital drainage, which will be almost systematic with most often a drainage of the maxillary sinus in cellulitis associated with Chandler stages III and IV. The approach will be guided by the imaging performed beforehand. Then, it is obviously necessary to treat the cause, and thus to eliminate the oral infectious source (most frequently consisting in the avulsion of the causing tooth) and to perform an oral drainage if it is indicated.

Finally, concerning the evolution, it is interesting to note that among the five cases where there was a sequelae of decreased visual acuity, four cases corresponded to intra-orbital abscesses (type IV). The cause of the persistent visual acuity loss was mostly ischemia of the optic nerve due to compression, or occlusion of the central retinal artery.

Nevertheless, in most cases, appropriate management allows resolution of symptoms without sequelae.

This review of the literature has allowed us to establish a standardized protocol when orbital cellulitis is suspected (Fig. 6).

**Conclusion**

Orbital cellulitis is a rare complication of infections of oral origin. Nevertheless, it seems essential to know how to recognize it, in order to treat it in time and avoid important after-effects that can go as far as total blindness. An early medical and surgical treatment will usually allow a healing process without sequelae.
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