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Abstract - Objective: The objective of this systematic analysis was to perform a qualitative and quantitative synthesis of the literature concerning salivary and serum leptin variations in patients with chronic periodontitis (CP) compared with healthy subjects. Saliva leptin concentration analysis could be a relevant and non-invasive biological test for the evaluation of periodontal disease in both medical and clinical trials, beyond the clinical and radiographic elements. Material and Method: Querying the PubMed and Web of Science databases identified articles that met our inclusion criteria. Quantitative analysis of the literature data was performed with the Review Manager 5.3 software. Results: The qualitative analysis included 14 articles and showed a decrease of salivary leptin (5 studies out of 5) and an increase of serum leptin (11 of 12 studies) in patients with CP compared to unaffected subjects of CP. Quantitative analysis was performed on 4 trials. For salivary leptin, we confirmed a decrease in its level in patients with CP with a standardized mean difference (SMD) of −2.27, 95% CI [−2.68, −1.88]. The difference was highly significant but we detected a very important heterogeneity in this dataset ($I^2 = 94$%). For serum leptin, we also confirmed an increase in its rate in patients with CP with an SMD of 2.18, 95% CI [1.75, 2.61]. The difference was highly significant but the heterogeneity measured in this dataset was also too high ($I^2 = 95$%). Conclusion: The current level of evidence was insufficient to assert an increase in serum leptin and a decrease in salivary leptin in CP patients compared to healthy controls due to a great heterogeneity of the values measured in the studies.

Introduction

Periodontal disease is a major oral health problem with a multifactorial origin that affects a large number of people around the world whose origin is multifactorial. In Europe, >50% of the population is affected, with prevalence increasing to 70–85% in the 60–65 age group [1].

In 1999, Armitage [2] established a nosological classification splitting periodontal disease into seven distinct forms. Currently, this has been simplified by the terms acute periodontitis (AP) and chronic periodontitis (CP) [3].

Aggressive periodontitis, AP, is a very destructive form of periodontal disease. APs include precocious, prepubertal, juvenile, rapid progressive, and refractory periodontitis. They can be localized or generalized and affect 10–15% of the adult population around the world [4]. The earlier age of onset, faster progression rates, destruction patterns, clinical signs of inflammation, and lower relative plaque and calculus abundance [3] distinguish AP from CP.

CP is the most common form of periodontitis. It can begin at any time of life but is most often detected in adulthood. Periodontitis is localized when <30% of sites are affected and generalized when >30% of sites are affected. Its severity is assessed according to the loss of the attachment: light, 1–2 mm; moderate, 3–4 mm; and severe, >5 mm.

Specific clinical indices are used in epidemiological studies and daily practice to determine the degree of impairment and monitor the progress of patients’ periodontal status. The aim is to evaluate gingival inflammation [5], the presence of dental plaque [6] and tartar, the clinical level of periodontal attachment, the pocket depth in mm, and mobility and/or tooth displacement and to look for bleeding on probing [7].

The initiation and progression of periodontal disease have been reported as the result of complex interactions between...
specific subgingival bacteria and the host's immunoreactive
response [8,9]. This host response to periodontal disease
consists of immune cells and their products (particularly
cytokines) and complex interactions [10].

Immunocytes activated by exogenous bacteria destroy the
bacteria and release myriads of substances, called inflamma-
tory cytokines, which exert an antibacterial effect and
contribute to the destruction of the periodontal tissues

Therefore, considerable attention has been paid in
establishing an association between periodontitis and certain
inflammatory cytokines, such as leptin, which could modulate
the host's response to infectious agents and play a role in
certain inflammatory conditions because of its direct effect on
innate and adaptive immune cells [11], including periodontal
ligament cells, which are major resident cells of the
periodontium [12].

The plasma levels of leptin increase in cases of acute
inflammation and acute severe diseases such as sepsis. High
plasma concentrations of leptin have been suggested as a risk
factor for cardiovascular disease. The resolution of inflamma-
tion depends on the balance between pro- and anti-
inflammatory cytokines [10].

These markers present in gingival crevicular fluid, saliva,
and plasma have the potential to provide insight into the
pathological process of periodontitis well beyond conventional
clinical and radiographic findings. In addition, saliva is a
noninvasively accessible biological fluid containing a set of
locally produced biomolecules. Saliva has become a potential
diagnostic fluid in the evaluation of oral and systemic diseases,
particularly periodontal diseases [13,14].

There are two meta-analyses [15,16] evaluating the
relationship between serum leptin concentrations and peri-
odontal disease, but these do not rule out other adipokines,
including adiponectin.

The aim of our study was to perform a qualitative and
quantitative synthesis of the literature concerning the
presence of leptin (salivary and serum) in patients with
CP.

Materials and methods
Research strategy

Research studies were conducted using the PubMed and
Web of science bibliographic databases.

Two computer queries were made using the following terms:
– First request: [leptin and periodontal disease] [MeSH]
– Second query: [leptin and periodontal disease] [MeSH major
topic]

We then manually revised all bibliographic reference lists
from previously selected studies to identify clinical trials
evaluating leptin difference in patients with and without
periodontitis.

The research concerned literature published before March
2019.

Selection of studies and eligibility of criteria
Inclusion criteria

Type of study

Randomized trials, published in English or French before
March 2019, were included. A clinical trial has been considered
randomized if the author declares it as such or in the text the
word “random, randomly, randomized, or randomization”
appear [17].

Type of intervention

Studies evaluating salivary and/or serum leptin variations
in CP and healthy subjects.

Judgment criteria

The diagnostic criteria for CP were established for each
patient on the basis of the following clinical results: gingival
index, probing depth ≥4 mm in 3–4 sites in >4 teeth in each
quadrant, loss of clinical attachment >1 mm, and radiological
evidence of bone loss without specifying the nature of the
X-ray.

Exclusion criteria

The following cases have been excluded:
– Therapeutic trials where the salivary and/or serum leptin
dosage was not assessed in both healthy and patients with
CP.
– Studies conducted in animals or in humans in vitro.
– Studies where chronic periodontal disease is associated with
a general pathology when it is mentioned.
– Factors that have been excluded are as follows:
  • Smoking
  • Alcoholism
  • Body mass index (BMI) >30
  • Pregnant and lactating women
  • Any antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, or immunosuppres-
sive treatment in the last 6 months known to affect
periodontal status
  • Periodontal treatment in the last 12 months
  • All patients who did not provide their consent.

Nonrandomized cohort studies, nonrandomized trials, and
studies not written in English or French were also excluded.

Data collection

The studies identified during the electronic research stages
were listed in the chronological order of publication in a
summary table indicating the principal author's name,
publication year, journal review, study type, and exclusion
criteria.

This information will be presented in the form of tables
describing the selected studies.

After this census phase, a flow diagram that graphically
represents the study selection process was created.
Extraction of data

The studies eligible for the analysis are presented in a summary table specifying the following:
- The principal author, the journal, and the publication year
- The description of the judgment criteria affected subjects/healthy subjects
- Quantitative evaluation of the judgment criterion in each group
  - Number of subjects in recruitment and distribution of case/control subjects
  - The characteristics of the population studied in each group
    ○ Age
    ○ Sex ratio
    ○ BMI
    ○ The type of leptin studied (serum and/or salivary)

To obtain the relevant missing information from included studies for the meta-analysis, we attempted to contact the corresponding authors twice by e-mail without receiving a response from them.

Risk of bias in the evaluation

Case selection was done by two trained and qualified reviewers who considered a case adequate when a patient was representative for the defined type of periodontitis.

Selection of controls was appropriate when sampled from the same population as cases. The cases and controls were compared by sex, age, BMI, and group size.

Both reviewers included studies for which patients had a normal BMI, according to the 2002 World Health Organization table, and obesity influencing leptin levels present in serum.

Definition of the group

We divided case–control comparisons into three categories: patients with AP, subjects with CP, and healthy control subjects.

The healthy group consisted of people who showed no clinical sign of gingival inflammation or bone loss and who had good oral hygiene.

According to the articles, the dosage of leptin was present in saliva, gingival crevicular fluid, or in the blood.

Quantitative analysis

Quantitative data on serum and salivary leptin and the total number of patients with CP and control subjects were extracted to calculate, for each study, the standardized mean difference (SMD) and the 95% confidence interval (95% CI). All data were presented in the form of a data summary table. Statistical calculations were performed with the help of Review Manager 5.3.

SMD is used as a summary statistic in the meta-analysis when all studies evaluate the same result but measure it in various ways. In these circumstances, it is necessary to standardize the results of the studies on a uniform scale before they can be combined. SMD expresses the magnitude of the effect of the intervention in each study relative to the variability observed in this study.

\[
\text{SMD} = \frac{\text{difference in average result between groups}}{\text{the standard deviation of results between participants}}
\]

Therefore, studies in which the mean difference between groups is of the same proportion as the standard deviation between the participants will have the same SMD, regardless of the actual scales used to perform the measurements.

Necessarily, the studies selected for a systematic review will not be identical and all the forms of variability within these studies will be grouped under the term of heterogeneity. The observed differences in the population recruited, the nature of the intervention performed, or the judgment criteria constitute the clinical variability. The methodological variability concerns the risk of bias and the design of each study. The variability in the measurement of the effect in the different studies results from the addition of clinical and methodological variability and can be quantified by measuring the statistical heterogeneity.

The Chi-square test proposed by Review Manager assesses whether the observed difference between studies is due to chance alone. A high Chi-square or low P value indicates heterogeneity in estimating the effect of an intervention.

The interpretation of these figures should be cautious, as it is highly dependent on the number of studies selected and the size of the samples from each of them, and small and few studies expose to under-screening for heterogeneity.

This means that even though a statistically significant result may indicate a problem of heterogeneity, a nonsignificant result should not be taken as evidence of the absence of heterogeneity. Thus, a P-value of 0.10 is sometimes used instead of the conventional 0.05 level to determine statistical significance.

Methods have been developed to quantify the inconsistency between studies that focus on assessing heterogeneity rather than assessing its impact on the meta-analysis.

\[
I^2 = \left( \frac{Q - \text{df}}{Q} \right) \times 100\%
\]

\(Q = \text{result of Chi-squared test and df = number of degrees of freedom of Chi-squared test; } I^2 \text{ is the percentage of variability in the measure of effect attributable to heterogeneity rather than sampling fluctuations.}

The value of \(I^2\), according to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review, will be interpreted as follows:
- 0–40%: insignificant heterogeneity
- 30–60%: moderately significant heterogeneity
- 50–90%: heterogeneity to be considered
- 75–100%: very significant heterogeneity
Results

Figure 1 describes the number of publications identified during the research strategy and details the process for selecting clinical trials. The manual revision of the bibliographic reference lists made it possible to select one additional publication that could be included in the analysis. The study characteristics are presented in Table I.

All the results of the qualitative analysis of the 14 studies were grouped together as a table (Tab. II). It groups together studies of leptin in its various forms in patients with CP with a BMI of ≤30 compared with healthy controls. All of these studies were not included in the quantitative analysis because they combined factors that we decided to exclude from our study.

Only four randomized trials were selected for quantitative analysis. They compared leptin dosages between the CP group and the control group. We decided not to include the Khorsand study [11] as the data collected on CP were too different from the other included studies and the definition criteria of the CP were not clearly specified in this publication.

Description of selected studies for quantitative analysis

The four studies selected for quantitative analysis recruited a population of 186 patients from throughout India. The age of the patients included varies between 30 and 60 yr. All these studies analyzed the salivary and serum leptin dosage, except for the Karthikeyan study [18] as the data collected on CP were too different from the other included studies and the definition criteria of the CP were not clearly specified in this publication.

In the two Purwar studies [20,21] and the Karthikeyan study [18], CP was defined for each patient by plaque index, gingival index, pocket depth, and clinical level of periodontal attachment that were scored on six sites (mesiovestibular, vestibular, distovestibular, mesiolingual, lingual, and distolingual) in each tooth, excluding the third molar, and the presence of bleeding during probing was evaluated using a sterile periodontal probe. All clinical parameters were recorded by one independent person.

The diagnosis of CP was established on the basis of clinical findings of gingival inflammation, clinical loss of periodontal attachment of >5 mm for Purwar [20,21] and only >1 mm for Karthikeyan [19], pocket depth of ≥4 mm in 3–4 sites in >4 teeth in each quadrant, and radiographic evidence of bone loss.

On examination, >30% of the sites examined were positive for the above criteria, and the patients were classified under generalized CP.

For Karthikeyan [18], CP was based on the clinical signs of gingival inflammation with loss of attachment, radiographic evidence of bone loss, and gingival index, as well as Ramfjord’s Periodontal Disease Index.

The salivary samples for obtaining leptin concentrations in both media were performed according to two separate procedures specific to each of the two authors [18–21].

Purwar [20,21] took nonstimulated whole saliva samples (approximately 2 ml) that were collected using a modified drainage method. Patients were asked to expectorate in disposable polypropylene tubes every 30 s over a period of 5 min. The desired volume (about 2 ml) of saliva was pipetted into an Eppendorf tube. Participants who were unable to expectorate the required saliva volume were excluded. The saliva samples were centrifuged at 4000 × rpm for 10 min to remove cell debris, and 0.5 ml of the supernatant was stored in 1.5 ml aliquots at –80 °C until the analysis was performed.

In [18,19] the CP group, Karthikeyan collected the site with the highest gingival index, pocket depth, and clinical level of periodontal attachment (range, 1–4 mm) for gingival crevicular fluid sampling. The site chosen for sampling was isolated with a cotton roll, and the supragingival plaque was removed with a curette, without touching the marginal gingiva. Crystalline
gingival fluid specimens were obtained before probing the site by placing micro capillary pipettes. At each test site, a standard volume of 1 ml was collected using micropipette calibration. Any trial site that did not express any volume of gingival crevicular fluid and/or a micropipette that was contaminated with blood and saliva was excluded from the study. The gingival crevicular fluid collected was immediately transferred to a plastic vial and stored at \(-70^\circ\text{C}\) until the time of testing.

The serum samples were taken, identically for the two authors Karthikeyan and Purwar [18–21] by peripheral venipuncture. After 1 h, the serum was separated from the blood by centrifugation. Each tube was designated by a tracking number and stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) until further analysis could be performed.

The two authors [18–21] performed the same technical procedure for leptin analysis using highly sensitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits to detect leptin levels in saliva and serum. Each plate was checked prior to use to ensure that the standard curve measured leptin standards (0–1000 \(\mu\text{g/ml}\)) within the indicated range of the dosage. The absorption of the colored reaction of the substrate was read on the ELISA reader using 405 nm as the primary wavelength. Each patient was used as the unit of analysis. Total leptin was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study characteristics</th>
<th>Studies N</th>
<th>Bias risk evaluation</th>
<th>Studies N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year of publication</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\leq 2010)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Selection</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011–2015</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Definition of case</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\geq 2016)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Selection of controls</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Definition of controls</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Selection of controls</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study design</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case control</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of periodontitis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic periodontitis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified periodontitis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leptin studied</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salivary</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only one study provided the average age (Karthikeyan 2007 [18]).

**Table II.** Biological publications comparing leptin dosage in patients with periodontitis compared to healthy controls. ↑: significantly high concentrations, ↓: significantly decreased concentrations, \(N\) : number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies No.</th>
<th>Chronic periodontitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leptin Salivary ↓</td>
<td>Karthikeyan 2007 [18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Purwar 2015 [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Purwar 2015 [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum ↑</td>
<td>Karthikeyan 2007 [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gangadhar 2011 [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ay 2012 [23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zimmermann 2013 [24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gundala 2014 [25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thanakun 2014 [26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Purwar 2015 [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Purwar 2015 [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mendoza-Azpur 2015 [27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zeigler 2015 [28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leira 2017 [29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sete MR 2015 [30]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The gingival crevicular fluid was immediately transferred to a plastic vial and stored at \(-70^\circ\text{C}\) until the time of testing. The serum samples were taken, identically for the two authors Karthikeyan and Purwar [18–21] by peripheral venipuncture. After 1 h, the serum was separated from the blood by centrifugation. Each tube was designated by a tracking number and stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) until further analysis could be performed.

The two authors [18–21] performed the same technical procedure for leptin analysis using highly sensitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits to detect leptin levels in saliva and serum. Each plate was checked prior to use to ensure that the standard curve measured leptin standards (0–1000 \(\mu\text{g/ml}\)) within the indicated range of the dosage. The absorption of the colored reaction of the substrate was read on the ELISA reader using 405 nm as the primary wavelength. Each patient was used as the unit of analysis. Total leptin was
determined in picograms (μg), and calculation of the concentration in each sample was performed by dividing the amount of leptin by the sample volume (μg/ml).

The characteristics of the included studies are detailed in Table III.

### Analysis of the risk of bias in studies

#### Power bias

Among the four studies, three studies [19–21] obtained a result with \( p < 0.05 \), and one study with \( p < 0.003 \) corresponded to a highly significant study.

#### Sampling bias

From the available cohort descriptions, the four studies recruited cases and controls from the same population. For the four studies, it was explicitly stated that the witnesses did not have a personal history of periodontitis in the 12 months preceding recruitment. In addition, all studies are comparable by age, sex, and BMI (Tab. I).

Most studies on periodontitis applied adequate diagnostic criteria; the criteria for CP and Armitage periodontitis classification were applied by all four studies.

Sampling and measurement methods were adequate and described in all studies. With regard to the serum and saliva collection procedure, all studies applied the same protocol.

The main technique for analyzing leptin concentration was done using the ELISA test in all studies.

### Heterogeneity bias

The main bias of our study is represented by the heterogeneity of the samples that were prepared differently (in total saliva or gingival crevicular fluid). As a result, we chose to analyze SMD rather than relative risk to correct this bias. We also ensured certain homogeneity in the four selected final studies with regard to the populations studied, the main endpoint, and the absence of systemic diseases associated with CP.

### Quantitative analysis

We conducted two series of comparative analyses:

- Analysis 1: salivary leptin dosage in CP vs. control
- Analysis 2: serum leptin dosage in CP vs. control.

The results of each analysis (SMD, 95% CI) are presented using Review Manager 5.3.

1. Regarding the comparison of salivary leptin, we measured an SMD of \(-2.27, 95\% \text{ CI } [-2.68, -1.86]\). The difference is highly significant, but we detected significant heterogeneity in this dataset \( (I^2 = 94\%) \) (Fig. 2).

2. For the results of the serum leptin comparison, we measured an SMD of \(2.18, 95\% \text{ CI } [1.75, 2.61]\). The difference was highly significant. The heterogeneity measured in this dataset was also too large \( (I^2 = 95\%) \) (Fig. 3).

### Discussion

For several years, the literature on the influence of leptin on systemic and periodontal diseases has been steadily increasing and becoming controversial. Data from the first meta-analysis suggest that serum leptin levels are significantly higher and salivary leptin levels are lower in patients with CP than in healthy subjects. The results of our analysis show similar trends.

Table III. Studies included in the quantitative analysis M/F: Male/Female.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Articles</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Serum/salivary leptin</th>
<th>Age Case</th>
<th>Age Controls</th>
<th>Sex: M/F</th>
<th>BMI Case</th>
<th>BMI Controls</th>
<th>Group size Case</th>
<th>Group size Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purwar Acta Odontologia Scandinavica 2015</td>
<td>case–control</td>
<td>Serum/saliva</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24/20</td>
<td>20.45 ± 1.23</td>
<td>20.82 ± 1.67</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purwar Journal of Periodontology 2015</td>
<td>case–control</td>
<td>Serum/saliva</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>49/35</td>
<td>20.62</td>
<td>20.69</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karthikeyan Journal of Periodontal Research 2007</td>
<td>case–control</td>
<td>Salivary</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>20/15</td>
<td>Normal BMI &lt; 30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We performed an electronic and manual literature review ensuring an exhaustive character of our selection. Our selection criteria were different from those of Zhu [15] and Jain [16] as we included only randomized case-control trials for CP, both salivary and serum leptin dosages. Our study selection criteria ensured certain homogeneity in the study populations (in terms of age, sex distribution, group size, and BMI), the primary endpoint, and the lack of systemic diseases associated with CP. We chose to exclude patients whose BMI was >30 because an increase in BMI results in an increase in serum leptin; it is the obese (ob) gene that appears to be involved in this mechanism, with a greater amount of ob mRNA found in adipocytes of obese subjects than in those of subjects with normal weight.

The populations studied are also homogeneous in the definition of CP, serum data collection, and independent operators.

In agreement with Zhu [15] ($I^2 = 91.6\%$), we also measured an excessive heterogeneity during the serum leptin comparison of subjects with CP versus healthy subjects.

The significant heterogeneity modifies the interpretation of our results and presents one of the weak points of our study.

However, strict adherence to the inclusion and exclusion criteria limited the sample size of the study. Further longitudinal interventional studies with larger samples are needed to potentiate the role of salivary and serum leptin as a reliable biomarker in patients with periodontitis. It might be interesting to compare AP and CP in later studies as well.

Only Zhu’s meta-analysis of [15] serum leptin can be compared to our study because Jain’s [16] meta-analysis does not present precise data on leptin dosages and does not exclude systemic diseases from the study. Zhu et al. [15] obtained similar results in our study, favoring an increase in serum leptin levels in patients with periodontitis compared with those in controls in the population with a BMI of <30.

The role of leptin as a potential biomarker of periodontitis is suggested in different meta-analyses and [15,16] deserves to be emphasized. In fact, monitoring the adipokine profile may allow clinicians to predict risk factors of periodontitis. Future research should be focused on distinguishing what comes first and what is the cause and effect; recognizing bilateral relationships between periodontitis and systemic diseases will encourage endocrinologists and odontologists to collaborate closely in the future for treatment of patients with diabetes, obesity, atherosclerosis, and periodontal disease.

**Conclusion**

We can conclude that the current level of evidence is insufficient to assert that there is definitely an increase in serum leptin and a decrease in salivary leptin in patients with CP compared with healthy control subjects.

Further research could evaluate the influence of leptin levels on the response to periodontal disease treatment in a large patient population in randomized trials with rigorous methodology.
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